Quantifying Stress and Strain in the Canine Sacroiliac Joint using Computed Tomography and Finite Element Analysis
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Justification

- Lower back pain can cause performance problems in working dogs (Linn et al., 2013)
- Working dogs undergo repetitive training tasks (Breit and Kunzel, 2001)
  - Assume upright positions
  - Increases weight on sacroiliac joint (SIJ)
Justification

- Increased weight on the sacroiliac joint leads to stress and strain in specific areas on the ilium and sacrum (Breit and Kunzel, 2001)

- How can canine SIJ stress and strain be quantified?
Literature Review

- Finite element analysis (FEA)
  - A complex structure is divided into elements (mesh)
  - Algebraic mathematical calculations are performed on each element
  - Allows for the calculation of change in the structure (example: stress, strain, deformation etc.)

(Engelke et al., 2016)
Literature Review

- FEA of human pelvis using CT scans
  - Different loads were applied on the model and ligament stiffness was measured
  - Comparison of this study to a cadaveric study presented comparable results (Miller et al., 1987)
  - As stiffness in the ligaments increased, the stress at the sacroiliac joint decreased
  - Pelvic ligament laxity could be a significant source of lower back pain

(Eichenseer et al., 2011)
Hypothesis and Objectives

❖ Hypothesis

Finite element analysis (FEA) is a feasible technique for quantifying stress and strain in sacroiliac ligaments in the static canine pelvis

❖ Objective

Develop a method for conducting FEA in the canine pelvis using computed tomography (CT)
Methods: Subject Selection

❖ AVS Image Analysis Laboratory data archives

❖ A clinically healthy, purebred, large breed dog

❖ Multi-slice CT scan DICOM files

❖ 0.625 mm slice thickness

❖ Reconstructed using bone filter

❖ Included entire SIJ and both ischiatic tuberosities

❖ Minimal or no degenerative SIJ lesions
Methods: FEA Technique

- Previously described techniques were adapted using the following software programs (Eichenseer et al., 2011)
  - 3D Slicer
  - ICEM CFD 15.0
  - SolidWorks 2016
  - ANSYS Workbench 15.0
Results: Subject

❖ Signalment

❖ Labrador retriever*

❖ 20 months

❖ Female

❖ 25 kg

Results: Subject

- CT technical parameters
  - Scanner = Lightspeed VCT, 32-slice, GE Medical Systems
  - Positioning = maximally extended hindlimbs, supine, head first
  - Slice thickness and spacing = 0.625 mm
  - Scan mode = axial
  - Matrix = 512 X 512
  - kVp = 120
  - Filter type = body
  - Convolution kernel = bone
Results: FEA Technique

- Segmentation of CT scans using 3D Slicer
  - Ilium
  - Sacrum
  - Cd 1 vertebrae

- Segmentation was completed using threshold effect and manual hand tracing
Segmentation, Threshold Effect
Segmentation, Paint Effect
Results: FEA Technique

- Individual bone segments were exported as .STL files and saved in folders.

- Each .STL file was opened separately in ICEM CFD 15.0 to create a mesh.
  - Mesh settings were dependent on size.
Mesh of Ilium
Results: FEA Technique

- Mesh was exported to .STL file
- SolidWorks 2016 was opened and .STL was imported and saved as a IGES (.IGS) file
- ANSYS Workbench 15.0 was opened
  - Static Structural Toolbox was dragged into the Project Schematic
- IGES files of each mesh were uploaded into the “Geometry” category
.STL files imported into ANSYS
Results: FEA Technique

- Joint spaces were added to the model
Results: FEA Technique

- Ligaments were manually placed based off figures showing ligament attachment sites (Evans and de Lahunta, 2012)
  - Dorsal sacroiliac ligament
  - Ventral sacroiliac ligament
  - Sacrotuberous ligament
- Ligaments were modeled as non-linear springs
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## Results: FEA Values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligament</th>
<th>Right Side</th>
<th>Strain (%)</th>
<th>Elastic Force (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal SIJ*</td>
<td>Lig. 1</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>27.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lig. 2</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>80.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lig. 3</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>35.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lig. 4</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral SIJ*</td>
<td>Lig. 1</td>
<td>-4.24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lig. 2</td>
<td>-7.17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lig. 3</td>
<td>-10.63</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lig. 4</td>
<td>-8.89</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacrotuberous*</td>
<td>Lig. 1</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>30.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lig. 2</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ligament numbers are from cranial to caudal*
Conclusions

- Complete details of methodologies were not available in previous study (Eichenseer et al., 2011)

- There were problems when repeating methodology in ANSYS

- Pilot Study – New method for ANSYS needed to be developed

- FEA can be used to calculate stress and strain values of ligaments in the canine pelvis

- Future studies are needed to validate current study methodology
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